

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	р.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,702	•	01/21/2004	Meng-Hung Chen	10113671	3361	
34283	7590	02/10/2006		EXAMINER		
•	RO LAW		WARREN, M	WARREN, MATTHEW E		
1617 BROADWAY, 3RD FLOOR SANTA MONICA, CA 90404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2-2	,		,	2815		
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/761,702	CHEN, MENG-HUNG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew E. Warren	2815		

	Matthew E. Warren	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		C = 1 C1 1 4					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	ient canceling				
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>residence</u>	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allow	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>11/4/05</u>					
13. Other:							
			L				
		KENNETH PARKE	R				

KENNETH PARKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant primarily argues that Maex cannot be combined with the APAF because proper motivation has not been shown. The examiner believes that Maex shows motivation for the combination and that the cited references show all of the elements of the claims. Although Maex does not specifically state in the column 2 that the invention pertains to a composite dielectric layer, Maex does show in figure 1H that the dielectric is composed of 3 distinct layers or a composite structure. As stated in Maex in column 2, lines 1-3, the invention provides a device having a low-K dielectric with improved gap filling and planarization. Maex thus teaches motivation for the combination and the combined references show all of the elements of the claims.